

Exhibit 219

CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: :
: Master File No.
Global Brokerage, Inc. : 1:17-cv-00916-RA
F/k/a FXCM, Inc. :
Securities Litigation :
----- :

REMOTE VIDEO DEPOSITION VIA ZOOM OF:
EVAN MILAZZO PURSUANT RULE 30
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 2, 2020

REPORTED BY:
SILVIA P. WAGE, CCR, CRR, RPR

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>3 "GS AGG" is that also Goldman Sachs?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have an understanding of why</p> <p>6 EFFEX would want to give another liquidity</p> <p>7 provider an advantage or reduce its own advantage</p> <p>8 on certain currency payor?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 MR. DAHAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>11 But go ahead.</p> <p>12 A. Yes, this was important for FXCM to</p> <p>13 ensure that we are getting the best pricing</p> <p>14 possible from all liquidity providers and so</p> <p>15 Goldman at that time was one of the best</p> <p>16 liquidity providers available. They were</p> <p>17 providing a high level of service to FXCM. As I</p> <p>18 mentioned earlier, John was, you know, able to</p> <p>19 provide suggestions where he wanted. His</p> <p>20 suggestion here in relation to Goldman, I</p> <p>21 believe, is a reflection of the high quality of</p> <p>22 pricing service that they were offering at that</p> <p>23 time.</p> <p>24 Q. Do you remember if FXCM would ever</p> <p>25 implement Mr. Dittami's proposals as to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:55.</p> <p>3 We're on the record.</p> <p>4 Q. Welcome back, Mr. Milazzo.</p> <p>5 MR. BAKER: Quickly, before we move</p> <p>6 ahead, I just want to clarify for the record that</p> <p>7 the document I described as an attachment in</p> <p>8 Exhibit 2 is Bate stamped GLBR 5535.</p> <p>9 And going forward I have introduced</p> <p>10 Exhibit 3.</p> <p>11 Q. Let me know when you can see that.</p> <p>12 (Deposition Exhibit 3, e-mail string</p> <p>13 and attachment GLBR-00009384 to GLBR-00009389</p> <p>14 marked Confidential, was marked for</p> <p>15 identification.)</p> <p>16 A. Okay, I've opened it.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And please take a minute to</p> <p>18 review.</p> <p>19 MR. BAKER: And while you do, I will</p> <p>20 note for the record that Exhibit 3 is Bate</p> <p>21 stamped GLBR 9384 and includes a document</p> <p>22 attached to that, which is Bate stamped GLBR</p> <p>23 9389.</p> <p>24 Q. So just let me know when you've had a</p> <p>25 minute to review.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 markups to apply to other liquidity providers?</p> <p>3 A. I'm not sure.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you recall discussions where Mr.</p> <p>5 Dittami's input or suggestions were taken into</p> <p>6 account in terms of determining the markups to</p> <p>7 apply to other liquidity providers?</p> <p>8 A. I wasn't involved in those</p> <p>9 discussions.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So for that document there.</p> <p>11 A. I'm sorry, could I ask for a short</p> <p>12 break to use the bathroom?</p> <p>13 MR. DAHAN: We've been going an hour</p> <p>14 20.</p> <p>15 MR. BAKER: Sure I was going to ask.</p> <p>16 This is a good time. So, yeah, let's take five</p> <p>17 and we'll come back.</p> <p>18 A. Great. Thank you.</p> <p>19 THE STENOGRAPHER: Howard, we need to</p> <p>20 go off the record.</p> <p>21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. My</p> <p>22 mike was off.</p> <p>23 The time is 10:46. We're off the</p> <p>24 record.</p> <p>25 (Recess taken 10:46 to 10:55 a.m.)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Okay, I've seen the e-mail.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And is this document an e-mail</p> <p>5 chain that -- where you sent the top documents</p> <p>6 and attachment?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, that appears to be the case.</p> <p>8 Q. And we're going to start at the top</p> <p>9 of Page 5 using the page numbering on the bottom</p> <p>10 of the pages in the middle.</p> <p>11 Do you see those? Or sorry, the</p> <p>12 bottom of Page 4, actually; sorry, the e-mail</p> <p>13 that starts on the bottom of Page 4.</p> <p>14 A. Okay, I see that.</p> <p>15 Q. Who is Raelyn Tsai?</p> <p>16 A. Raelyn was an employee of mine who</p> <p>17 worked as a software project manager.</p> <p>18 Q. And do you see in her e-mail she</p> <p>19 references a "new Japan stream"?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I see that.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you have an understanding of what</p> <p>22 that is?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, I see in another part of the</p> <p>24 document this is referring to a line of business</p> <p>25 that we had with an institutional company named</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 Q. And in the second paragraph there you</p> <p>3 write, "As an example, both AEES (John Dittami's</p> <p>4 companies A/K/A EFFEX Capital) and J.P. Morgan</p> <p>5 are spokes to Citi. This means we will book any</p> <p>6 trade done with either counterparty into the Citi</p> <p>7 PB account/accounting book."</p> <p>8 Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>10 Q. And "AEES" is the same as "EES," as</p> <p>11 we talked about before?</p> <p>12 A. Specifically, here, this is referring</p> <p>13 to the liquidity provider adapter called AEES.</p> <p>14 Q. And where did the name "AEES" come</p> <p>15 from?</p> <p>16 A. It was a name that -- anytime that we</p> <p>17 create a liquidity provider adapter, we agree</p> <p>18 upon a name internally. Normally, that decision</p> <p>19 is made by a systems administrator for the FXCM</p> <p>20 team.</p> <p>21 Q. And in this case and you referred</p> <p>22 before to AEES and EES.</p> <p>23 Does the "A" stand for something?</p> <p>24 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>25 Q. You described in this sentence AEES</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 80</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 company that was started in spring of 2010 and</p> <p>3 therefore not a continuation of the project that</p> <p>4 was started at FXCM.</p> <p>5 Q. And in its function as a liquidity</p> <p>6 provider for FXCM's retail customers, did you do</p> <p>7 EFFEX any differently than EES?</p> <p>8 MR. DAHAN: Objection to form, to the</p> <p>9 assumption that EES was a liquidity provider. I</p> <p>10 don't understand the question. I mean, please</p> <p>11 rephrase.</p> <p>12 Q. Before I believe you testified that</p> <p>13 you did not recall or were you not sure whether</p> <p>14 EES actually went into operation at FXCM; is that</p> <p>15 correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. So I'm asking, to the extent that EES</p> <p>18 did operate as -- strike that.</p> <p>19 To the extent that EES did operate</p> <p>20 within FXCM, was its function different than</p> <p>21 EFFEX's function as a liquidity provider --</p> <p>22 MR. DAHAN: Objection.</p> <p>23 Q. -- for FXCM?</p> <p>24 MR. DAHAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Sorry, do I still</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 as "A/K/A EFFEX Capital."</p> <p>3 Did you view EFFEX as a continuation</p> <p>4 of EES?</p> <p>5 A. Like I mentioned earlier, from a</p> <p>6 technical standpoint, I'm referring to an adapter</p> <p>7 in our system that we called AEES, which was the</p> <p>8 adapter inside of the FXCM system that</p> <p>9 communicated with EFFEX Capital.</p> <p>10 Q. I understand.</p> <p>11 But my question is just more, did you</p> <p>12 view EFFEX as a continuation of the entity that</p> <p>13 you described earlier as EES?</p> <p>14 MR. DAHAN: Objection to form. He</p> <p>15 never described any entity called EES.</p> <p>16 Q. Let me rephrase.</p> <p>17 MR. DAHAN: Thank you.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you view EFFEX as a continuation</p> <p>19 of the project at FXCM that you described earlier</p> <p>20 called EES?</p> <p>21 A. From a technical perspective, we used</p> <p>22 some of the technology that had been created in</p> <p>23 that project, particularly, the bank adapter</p> <p>24 called AEES within the FXCM system. From a</p> <p>25 business perspective, obviously, EFFEX was a new</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p> <p>1 CONFIDENTIAL - EVAN MILAZZO RULE 30</p> <p>2 answer the question?</p> <p>3 MR. DAHAN: If you can even</p> <p>4 understand that question and you wouldn't be</p> <p>5 speculating, you can answer.</p> <p>6 A. So, as I mentioned earlier, I don't</p> <p>7 recall if EES operated in the way that you're</p> <p>8 describing.</p> <p>9 Q. So, at the time of this e-mail, which</p> <p>10 is September 2010, EFFEX Capital -- do you recall</p> <p>11 if EFFEX Capital was operating as the liquidity</p> <p>12 provider for FXCM?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I believe it was.</p> <p>14 Q. Were there any other names by which</p> <p>15 EFFEX was referred to within FXCM besides EFFEX?</p> <p>16 A. Do you mean as a business?</p> <p>17 Q. I mean, in terms of naming</p> <p>18 conventions within FXCM internal documents.</p> <p>19 A. You know, from a -- I can speak from</p> <p>20 the technical side of things. We referred to</p> <p>21 EFFEX as a liquidity provider. And as I</p> <p>22 mentioned earlier, there was software called a</p> <p>23 bank adapter that communicated with EFFEX's</p> <p>24 liquidity provider that was named the AEES</p> <p>25 adapter inside of the FXCM system.</p>

21 (Pages 78 - 81)